

To the Honourable Leader of the Opposition,
To Whom It May Concern

27th June 2025

REJECT THE 2024 AMENDMENTS TO THE INTERNATIONAL HEALTH REGULATIONS

We oppose the 2024 Amendments to the International Health Regulations (2005) ("IHR") and we DEMAND that you call on this government to reject them in their entirety before July 19th 2025 pursuant to Article 61 of the IHR for the many reasons given above and explained in further detail in the attached document.

The deadline of July 19th 2025, to reject the [2024 Amendments to the International Health Regulations \(IHR\)](#) is fast approaching. We the people, oppose the amendments and demand that a letter of rejection is submitted immediately.

Had you and the opposition listened to our previous calls to reject the 2022 Amendments to the IHR like the leaders of Netherlands, New Zealand, Slovakia and Iran did, Malta would also have a deadline of March 19th 2026 to reject the June 1st 2024 Amendments.

We do not believe that you, your party, or the government understand the significance or the impact of the IHR. As recently as 30 April 2025 an unelected, unaccountable group called the World Health Organisation (WHO) announced new laws in Malta that are now in effect from the date of their announcement. Their recommendations are now the law!!

You must call on the government to address the incompetent clause 43 of Subsidiary Legislation 465.10 which allows the WHO override the Constitution's claim to be the highest law of the land. The European Union Treaty is clear evidence that decisions made by the European Parliament as well as the dictates of the European Commission are superior to the existing laws in Malta and worse still the recommendations of the WHO are equally law due to this shameful legislation!!

To the best of our knowledge, Malta is unique in its abhorrent submission to the WHO whereby it adopts any recommendation as law with immediate effect. The definitions in the International Health Regulations are very clear, recommendations are merely suggestions, basically non-binding advice.

In 2007/8 your party were in power and they failed the public in introducing S.L.465.10, Malta is the only country in the world that we are aware of that has such appalling legislation.

The time to get ahead of this is now and you need to act decisively to show Malta that you put the citizens and residents of Malta first and to make it clear to the President of the European Parliament and others that Malta is sovereign, and Malta will act in the best interests of its citizens and residents. Do not let the government get the jump on you and be the first ones to make a stand for the people!

Furthermore, the purpose of these amendments is NOT to improve the health of the public!

How can the WHO seek to “prevent”, “prepare for” and “better respond to” the “next pandemic” when it failed to define any of the fundamental terms including: “pandemic”, “prevention”, “preparedness,” and “response”.

These negotiations were an ideal opportunity to evaluate the response to COVID-19 and to ensure the violations of fundamental human rights, the poor decisions and the mistakes that were made can never happen again. There are many, many amendments that should have been adopted, but were not.

The only thing that these amendments will improve is the future profits made by the ever-expanding pharmaceutical industry.

The amendment process was fundamentally flawed by the failure to comply with Article 55.2 of the IHR requiring the amendments were shared at least four months in advance of them being considered. The amendments include provisions that were specifically advised against by the Review Committee that established the Terms of Reference for the process.

The deceitful introduction of an open ended, unlimited funding mechanism to be operated by an unelected and unaccountable bureaucracy through the inclusion of a previously unseen provision as if it were an existing provision of the IHR exposes Malta to unknown and potentially unlimited financial obligations going forward.

We oppose the 2024 Amendments to the International Health Regulations (2005) and we DEMAND that you call on this government to reject them in their entirety before July 19th 2025 pursuant to Article 61 of the IHR for the many reasons given above and explained in further detail in the attached document.

Yours sincerely

Concerned Citizens & Residents of Malta

The Top Ten Reasons to Reject the Amendments to the International Health Regulations Before the July 19, 2025 Deadline

1. The new definition of “relevant health products” only includes pharmaceutical drugs, diagnostics, devices and GENE AND CELL BASED THERAPIES. There is no mention of vitamins, minerals, herbs, homeopathic remedies or any other natural solutions. There has been no after-event review of the failures associated with the fraudulent use of the PCR “tests,” ventilators, drugs such as remdesivir and the viral vector and mRNA “vaccines.” (Article 1)
2. The Director-General of the World Health Organization would be empowered to declare a “pandemic emergency” based solely upon his determination, with no checks or balances. There is no procedure by which the member nations can vote to terminate the declarations made by the Director-General. (Articles 1, 12 and 49)
3. “States Parties... shall maintain or increase domestic funding, as necessary, and collaborate... to strengthen sustainable financing to support the implementation of these Regulations.” HOW MUCH IS THIS GOING TO COST? (Article 44)
4. A new article (44bis) was added to the IHR in violation of the WHO Constitution. On February 6, 2023, the Review Committee cautioned **“against creating an explicit financing function for WHO under the Regulations.”** The failure to define the details of the “Coordinating Financial Mechanism” while empowering unelected, unaccountable and unknown future bureaucrats to control the “Mechanism,” the failure to determine how much this is going to cost, the failure to require full transparency and make public a complete audit trail as well as the failure to ensure that conflicts of interest will be prevented are all unacceptable. In addition, Article 44bis was improperly and secretly added to the final version of the amendments in regular font instead of bold font. This gave the impression that the new article was part of the existing IHR and failed to properly indicate that Article 44bis was an amendment. (Article 44bis)
5. The WHO Director-General failed to properly submit the FINAL VERSION of the proposed amendments with at least four months’ notice as required by Article 55(2).
6. It is absolutely unacceptable that healthy people who are merely suspected of having been exposed to a contagious disease may be quarantined. (Article 27)
7. Forcing conveyance operators to apply “health measures” [such as spraying insecticides] on board while travellers are embarking and disembarking is a clean violation of fundamental freedoms. [Article 24.1(a), Article 24.1(b) and Annex 4.1(c)]
8. Nations are obligated to enact domestic legislation to implement the IHR amendments. Many have already enacted some of the most dystopian measures imaginable. (Article 4)
9. By instructing the Director General “provide available information on any WHO-coordinated mechanism(s) concerning access to, and allocation of, relevant health products,” the amendments to these articles simply feed into the World Health Organization’s Pre-qualification and Emergency Use Listing money-making racket. (Articles 15, 16, 17 and 18)
10. The failure to address the clear violation of human rights that authorizes nations to **“compel the traveller to undergo... vaccination or other prophylaxis; or (c) additional established health measures that prevent or control the spread of disease, including isolation, quarantine or placing the traveller under public health observation”** is absolutely UNACCEPTABLE. (Article 31.2)